

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,588	10/814,588 04/01/2004		Satoru Hosono	Q80867	5865
23373	7590	02/09/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE			UHLENHAK	E, JASON S	
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ON, DC	20037	2853		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· ·
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,588	HOSONO, SATORU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION  136(a). In no event, however, may a relation will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
, ,	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	or the certified copies not	receivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/17/2004</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/814,588

Art Unit: 2853

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Chang (U.S. Pat. 6,619,777) in view of Kusunoki (U.S. Pub. 2004/0017413).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Art Unit: 2853

# Chang discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** a liquid ejection apparatus comprising: a liquid ejection head comprising: a nozzle orifice communicated with a pressure chamber and a pressure generating element which generates pressure fluctuation in liquid which is contained in the pressure chamber (Column 2, Lines 40 – 63)

- **regarding claim 1,** a drive signal generator, which generates a drive signal containing within one cycle (Column 2, Lines 40 63)
- regarding claim 1 and claim 9, first drive subsignal containing a plurality of first drive pulses each of which drives the pressure generating element to generate the pressure fluctuation so as to eject the liquid form the nozzle orifice (Column 2, Lines 45 47), and a second drive pulse which drives the pressure generating element to generate the pressure fluctuation so as not to eject the liquid from the nozzle orifice (Abstract, Column 2, Lines 32 40, 48 63; Column 3, Lines 25 30)
- **regarding claim 1 and claim 9,** at least one second drive subsignal, containing only the first drive pulses (Figure 6, Column 12, Lines 35 65)
- **regarding claim 1 and claim 9,** pulse supplier, which selectively supplies at least one of the first drive pulses and the second drive pulse to the pressure generating element in accordance with an amount of the liquid to be ejected from the nozzle orifice (Column 2, Lines 48 63; Column 10, Lines 7 30)
- **regarding claim 2,** wherein all of the first drive pulses have an identical waveform (Figure 6, Column 10, Lines 30 45; Column 11, Lines 24 29)

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 3,** the second drive subsignal is arranged at the beginning of the one cycle of the drive signal (TS1 of Figure 6)

- **further regarding claim 9,** method of controlling a liquid ejection apparatus comprising: a liquid ejection head provided with: a nozzle orifice communicated with a pressure chamber and a pressure generating element which generates pressure fluctuation in liquid which is contained in the pressure chamber (Column 2, Lines 40 – 63)

# Chang does not expressly disclose:

- regarding claim 1 and claim 9, wherein each of the first drive subsignal and the second drive subsignal is associated with a minimum area subjected to the liquid ejection

## Kusunoki discloses:

- **regarding claim 1 and claim 9,** wherein each of the first drive subsignal and the second drive subsignal is associated with a minimum area subjected to the liquid ejection (Claim 4, Claim 6)

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of each of the first drive subsignal and the second drive subsignal is associated with a minimum area subjected to the liquid ejection as taught by Kusunoki into the device of Change. The motivation for doin so would have been to control ink ejection volume while reducing fluctuation of an ink ejection velocity to the minimum.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (U.S. Pat. 6,619,777) as modified by Kusunoki (U.S. Pub. 2004/0017413) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al (U.S. Pub. 2004/0056909) and Sekiguchi (U.S. Pub. 2004/0090476).

Chang as modified by Kusunoki discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- regarding claim 4, each of the first drive pulses and the second pulse is designated by one of pulse selection data processed in the pulse supplier; and the number of the pulse selection data for the first drive subsignal and the number of the pulse selection data for the second drive subsingal are the same
- a predetermined potential of the second drive subsignal is supplied to the pressure generating element by one of the pulse selection data for the second drive subsignal

## Takahashi et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 4,** each of the first drive pulses and the second pulse is designated by one of pulse selection data processed in the pulse supplier; and the number of the pulse selection data for the first drive subsignal and the number of the pulse selection data for the second drive subsingal are the same (Paragraphs 0012, 0028, 0030), for the purpose of improving printing speed.

## Sekiguchi discloses:

- **regarding claim 4,** a predetermined potential of the second drive subsignal is supplied to the pressure generating element by one of the pulse selection

Art Unit: 2853

data for the second drive subsignal (Paragraph 0032), for the purpose of stably ejecting droplets form the apparatus.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of first drive pulses and the second pulse is designated by one of pulse selection data processed in the pulse supplier; and the number of the pulse selection data for the first drive subsignal and the number of the pulse selection data for the second drive subsingal are the same; a predetermined potential of the second drive subsignal is supplied to the pressure generating element by one of the pulse selection data for the second drive subsignal as taught by Takahashi and Sekiguchi into the device of Chang as modified by Kusunoki. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve printing speed and stably eject droplets from the apparatus.

Claim 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (U.S. Pat. 6,619,777) as modified by Kusunoki (U.S. Pub. 2004/0017413) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kobayashi (U.S. Pat. 6,679,586).

## Chang as modified by Kusunoki discloses:

- **regarding claim 5,** duration of the one cycle (T1, T2, T3, T4) of the drive signal is less than an interval (T) of the first timing signals (Figure 6)
- **regarding claim 6,** duration of each of the first drive subsignal and the second drive subsignal is less than an interval (T) of the second timing signals (Figure

Art Unit: 2853

- minimum areas is repetitively defined in accordance with a series of second timing signals which are generated in the external of the drive signal generator (Claim 4 and Claim 6)

# Chang as modified by Kusunoki does not expressly disclose:

- regarding claim 5, drive signal is repetitively generated in accordance with a series of first timing signals which are generated in the external of the drive signal generator

# Kobayashi et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 5,** drive signal is repetitively generated in accordance with a series of first timing signals which are generated in the external of the drive signal generator (Column 2, Lines 33 – 46; Claim 1), for the purpose of maintaining proper ink ejection without stopping printing operation.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of drive signal is repetitively generated in accordance with a series of first timing signals which are generated in the external of the drive signal generator as taught by Kobayashi et al into the device of Chang as modified by Kusunoki. The motivation for doing so would have been to maintain proper ink ejection without stopping printing operation.

Claim 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (U.S. Pat. 6,619,777) as modified by Kusunoki (U.S. Pub. 2004/0017413) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hosono (U.S. Pat. 6,984, 010).

Art Unit: 2853

Chang as modified by Kusunoki discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- regarding claim 7, the first drive pulses include a pair of first ejection pulses each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a first volume, and a second ejection pulse generated between the first ejection pulses for ejecting a liquid droplet having a second volume less than the first volume.

- **regarding claim 8,** the first drive pulses are generated at a fixed interval each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a fixed volume

## Hosono discloses:

- **regarding claim 7,** the first drive pulses include a pair of first ejection pulses each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a first volume, and a second ejection pulse generated between the first ejection pulses for ejecting a liquid droplet having a second volume less than the first volume (Column 5, Lines 30 – 35), for the purpose of optimizing the ejection characteristics of the ink droplets.

- **regarding claim 8,** the first drive pulses are generated at a fixed interval each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a fixed volume (Column 3, Lines 31 – 36), for the purpose of optimizing the ejection characteristics of the ink droplets.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the first drive pulses include a pair of first ejection pulses each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a first volume, and a second ejection pulse generated between the first ejection pulses for ejecting a liquid droplet having a second volume less than the first volume; the first drive pulses are

Art Unit: 2853

generated at a fixed interval each for ejecting a liquid droplet having a fixed volume as taught by Hosono into the device of Chang as modified by Kusunoki. The motivation for doing so would have been to optimize the ejection characteristics of the ink droplets.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSU January 25, 2006